equidam

The best startups are valued, not priced

Practical Valuation Frameworks for Emerging Managers: A Comprehensive Guide to LPA Valuation Clauses

> **Executive Summary:** This guide provides emerging fund managers with a comprehensive understanding of valuation clauses in Limited Partnership Agreements (LPAs). Learn how to craft transparent, LP-friendly valuation provisions that align with post-2022 market expectations and industry best practices.

Introduction

Launching a venture capital fund involves navigating complex legal documents, chief among them the Limited Partnership Agreement (LPA). For first-time fund managers, one often-overlooked section of the LPA is the valuation clause – the provisions that dictate how the fund's investments are valued over time. These clauses might seem like boilerplate legalese, but they have real implications for how your fund is perceived by Limited Partners (LPs) and how transparently you operate. In essence, the valuation clause sets the ground rules for measuring your portfolio's performance between the big exit events.

Why do valuations matter so much? LPs evaluate a fund's success not only at the final cash return, but also through interim reports that show the fair value of the startups in your portfolio. A solid valuation policy in your LPA helps ensure these interim valuations are determined consistently and credibly – which in turn builds LP trust. On the flip side, weak or vague valuation terms can lead to disputes, erode confidence, and even affect governance if LPs feel the General Partner (GP) has too much leeway in marking up (or not marking down) investments. Moreover, auditors and regulators increasingly expect funds to follow established valuation standards as part of financial reporting.

In this comprehensive guide, we'll break down what exactly goes into a valuation clause, why it matters for transparency and governance, and how expectations have evolved (especially after the 2022 market correction that shook startup valuations). We'll compare how different model LPAs handle valuation, including actual clause examples. We'll also explain, in plain language, common valuation frameworks you'll encounter, like the International Private Equity Valuation (IPEV) Guidelines, the VC Method, and our own methodology which encompasses both. To top it off, we'll provide a visual summary table contrasting various LPA standards and a sample valuation clause you can consider for your own LPA.

Whether you're drafting your first fund documents or reviewing an LPA from an LP's perspective, this article will equip you with the knowledge to approach valuation clauses with confidence.

What Are Valuation Clauses and Why Do They Matter?

Valuation clauses in an LPA are the sections that outline how and when a fund's investments will be valued during the life of the fund. In simple terms, this clause answers: "How do we decide what each startup in the portfolio is worth at any given time, and how do we report that to our investors?" These provisions might specify the valuation methodology to follow (for example, adherence to an industry standard), the frequency of valuations (quarterly, annually, etc.), and who is responsible for performing the valuations (usually the GP, possibly with oversight).

For LPs, these clauses are critical because interim valuations are the window into the fund's performance before any cash returns occur. A venture fund typically has a 10+ year lifespan, and LPs cannot wait a decade to learn how things are going. They rely on quarterly or annual reports that include updated valuations of each portfolio company. Those reported values feed into Net Asset Value (NAV) calculations, which many institutional LPs use for their own accounting and portfolio management. In fact, private fund managers are required to undertake periodic valuations as part of ongoing reporting to investors. A well-defined valuation clause ensures everyone agrees on how those NAV figures will be arrived at.

Transparency

A robust valuation clause promotes transparency by committing the GP to a consistent approach. If the LPA says, for example, that the fund will value investments "at fair value according to the IPEV Guidelines on a quarterly basis," LPs have a clear expectation of both the standard being applied and the frequency of updates. This reduces uncertainty and the potential for GP "gaming." Without clear rules, a GP might be tempted to value subjectively – perhaps keeping a struggling startup at an overly optimistic valuation, or conversely, marking down aggressively to manage expectations. Either extreme can mislead LPs.

Transparent valuation practices, on the other hand, build credibility. LPs feel confident that the numbers in their statements mean something concrete and comparable across funds. As one industry resource notes, establishing portfolio company valuations based on an approved policy "assures LPs that your reporting is reliable and reasonable."

Governance

Valuation clauses also have a governance dimension. Remember, GPs typically earn carried interest (their share of profits) based on the fund's performance. In most venture LPAs, carry is only realized upon actual exits, not just paper gains. However, inflated interim valuations can paint a rosier picture of a GP's track record when they go to raise the next fund. LPs know this, which is why they push for checks and balances on valuations to align interests.

For example, many institutional LPs insist on an LP Advisory Committee (LPAC) having the right to review or approve the valuation methodology. ILPA (Institutional Limited Partners Association) guidelines explicitly state that "Valuation policy and practices should be documented by the GP and reviewed with the LPAC... Valuation of portfolio companies should be reviewed with LPAC no less than quarterly." In practice, this means a subset of representative LPs might meet with the GP each quarter to go over any valuation changes and ensure they're reasonable. This kind of oversight, baked into the LPA, greatly improves governance by adding independent scrutiny on a process that would otherwise rely solely on GP judgment.

> **Key Takeaway:** A valuation clause matters to LPs because it safeguards fund transparency, fair play, and accountability. It ensures the GP has a clear, agreed-upon policy for valuations, affects how openly the GP must share information, and can empower LPs to question and understand valuation decisions.

Typical Valuation Clause Content and Where It Falls Short

What does a typical valuation clause look like? Especially in many traditional or boilerplate LPAs, the language is surprisingly brief. It often boils down to something like: "The General Partner shall determine the Fair Value of each investment in good faith at least annually, and such valuations shall be conclusive and binding on all Partners." In practice, this means the GP has broad discretion to decide what each portfolio company is worth, perhaps with a promise to use "good faith" (honest intent) and maybe a generic reference to GAAP or "fair value."

Where is the downside? For early-stage funds and emerging managers, a simplistic valuation clause can be a double-edged sword. On one hand, it gives the GP flexibility, which can seem attractive. Early-stage companies are hard to value (often no revenues, comparable companies are imperfect, etc.), so the GP might think, "I don't want my hands tied by a rigid formula." But from the LP's perspective, too much flexibility = too little accountability. Here are the common shortcomings of bare-bones valuation clauses:

1. Infrequent or Delayed Valuations

If the LPA only requires annual valuations, that means an LP might go a full year with no update on what their investment is worth (aside from any exits). Annual valuation frequency was more common in decades past, but today it's viewed as insufficient. Best practice is at least quarterly updates. With a yearly cadence, there's a risk that big changes (up or down) in a startup's fortune go unreported for months.

2. Lack of Methodology or Standard

A vague clause ("valuations as determined by GP") doesn't specify how to determine value. Especially for early-stage startups, there are multiple methods one could use – cost basis, last round price, discounted cash flow, comparables, you name it. If the LPA is silent on methodology, the GP might default to a convenient heuristic, like "leave the valuation at cost until the next funding round." While not uncommon, this practice can be problematic.

3. No Independent Check

Perhaps the biggest concern is the conflict of interest inherent in GPs marking their own homework. A minimal clause offers no mechanism for an independent party to review or challenge valuations. In robust fund governance, usually either an LP advisory committee or an external valuation firm provides this check.

4. Limited Disclosure in Reports

A bare-bones clause might not detail what must be included when reporting valuations. Ideally, LPs want not just the number, but context: did you value that SaaS startup by applying a revenue multiple? Did you mark it down relative to last quarter and if so, why? Boilerplate LPAs might skip these details, resulting in perfunctory reports.

> ***Example (Weak Valuation Clause):*** *"The General Partner shall determine the valuation of the Fund's investments using its best judgment and shall provide financial reports to Limited Partners on an annual basis."*
>
> *For an LP, this language is not particularly comforting. It basically says "trust us, we'll decide, and we'll tell you once a year."*

Best Practices and Evolving LP Expectations (Post-2022)

Over the past few years, particularly following the 2022 market correction, LPs have sharpened their focus on valuation practices. The exuberance of 2020-2021 – when startup valuations soared and many funds reported glowing paper gains – gave way to a harsh reality check in 2022. Public tech stocks plummeted, IPOs froze, and suddenly those lofty private valuations looked suspect.

By late 2022, industry voices were encouraging LPs to actively question GPs' valuation assumptions. Regulators too have highlighted the issue: given the opaque nature of private markets, there's a concern that GPs might be overvaluing the businesses they own. All this has led to evolving LP expectations around valuation clauses and policies. Let's break down the emerging best practices that first-time managers should be aware of:

1. Frequent and Timely Valuations

The days of annual valuations are largely over, especially for venture funds with institutional LPs. Quarterly valuations are now the norm, with many LPAs explicitly requiring them. The principle is simple: in fast-moving markets, LPs want more frequent visibility. Best practice is to perform a valuation exercise at the end of each quarter, and communicate any material events in between if they significantly affect a holding's value.

2. Adherence to Established Valuation Standards

A key best practice is to anchor the valuation clause to an industry standard methodology. The leading standard in venture capital is the International Private Equity and Venture Capital Valuation Guidelines (IPEV). Updated most recently in December 2022, the IPEV Guidelines represent the consensus on how to measure fair value for private investments. By writing IPEV (or another standard like ASC 820 for U.S. GAAP) into your LPA, you signal that valuations won't be ad hoc – they will follow current best practices.

3. Enhanced LP Oversight & Governance

Since 2022, LPs are even more keen on oversight. One manifestation is requiring an LP Advisory Committee review of valuations each quarter. Many newer LPAs give the LPAC rights not just around conflicts and key person issues, but also to approve the valuation policy and review valuations periodically. This quarterly check-in acts as a safeguard against valuation manipulation and builds trust that the GP isn't hiding bad news.

4. Independent Valuations or Audits

Another evolving expectation is the use of independent third parties in the valuation process, especially for hard-to-value assets. Some LPAs now stipulate that the GP may (or shall) engage an external valuation firm to review the portfolio periodically or to opine on valuations above a certain threshold.

5. Transparency and Detail in Reporting

LP expectations now go beyond just getting the NAV number – they want context. Best practice reporting includes providing the valuation methodology for each significant holding. Many LPAs require a schedule or template of info to be delivered. The overarching theme is no surprises.

6. Dynamic Valuation Approaches

In response to the market volatility, there's a trend toward more dynamic valuation approaches. Some GPs are embracing more frequent calibrations to market data – if public SaaS multiples drop 30% in a quarter, they don't wait until the next financing event to adjust their SaaS startup valuations downward accordingly.

Comparative Analysis: Valuation in Different LPA Templates

Not all LPAs handle valuation clauses the same way. To see the range of approaches, let's compare two concrete examples: the VC Lab "Cornerstone" LPA and the British Business Bank (BBB) Enterprise Capital Fund LPA.

VC Lab's Cornerstone LPA – Lean and GP-Centric

VC Lab provides a standardized LPA intended to simplify fund formation for emerging managers. In the Cornerstone LPA, the valuation clause is minimalistic:

- **Frequency:** "At least annually." This sets a minimum of once per year for valuation.
- **Methodology:** No specific methodology or standard is named. It just says "fair value...determined by GP."
- **Disclosure & Governance:** The clause states valuations are "final and binding" on all parties. No mention of LP Advisory Committee approval or any independent valuation process.

British Business Bank's ECF LPA – Structured and LP-Friendly

The British Business Bank, through its Enterprise Capital Funds program, provides a detailed LPA template. The valuation and reporting requirements here are much more rigorous:

- **Frequency:** The LPA explicitly requires quarterly valuations and reports within 60 days of each quarter's end.
- **Methodology:** The term "Value" is defined as determined following the International Private Equity and Venture Capital Valuation Guidelines (dated December 2022).
- **Disclosure & Oversight:** Each quarterly report must include not just valuations but also information like time since investment, details of transactions, and commentary on progress.

LPA Template / Standard	Valuation Frequency	Methodology Stated	Disclosure & Oversight
VC Lab Model LPA (2021)	At least annually (minimum)	"Fair value" at GP's discretion; no specific standard cited	Annual reports; GP's valuations final and binding; no LP oversight
British Business Bank ECF LPA (2024)	Quarterly valuations (within 60 days of quarter-end)	Must follow IPEV Guidelines (Dec 2022)	Quarterly reports; Preferred LP receives full info; implied close monitoring
ILPA / Institutional Best Practice	Quarterly (at least), with prompt updates for material events	Fair value under GAAP/IFRS (often referencing IPEV/ASC 820); consistent methodology documented	Quarterly comprehensive reports; LPAC reviews valuations quarterly; external audit oversight

Valuation Methodologies in Plain Language

Valuation doesn't happen in a vacuum – GPs have to use actual methods to assign values to companies. Here we explain three important valuation frameworks you'll encounter:

1. IPEV Guidelines (International Private Equity Valuation Guidelines)

The IPEV Guidelines are the industry standard for fund valuation policy. In plain terms, IPEV is a set of recommendations that tell GPs how to figure out "fair value" for private companies in a consistent way. Fair value essentially means the price that would be agreed between a willing buyer and seller in an orderly transaction at the reporting date.

IPEV provides a framework of acceptable methods:

- **Price of Recent Investment (PRI):** If the company recently raised funding, that price can be a starting point, adjusted for any changes since.
- **Market Approach:** Look at comparable companies to derive appropriate multiples.
- **Income Approach:** Typically a Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) analysis.
- **Other methods:** Replacement Cost or Net Assets for specific situations.

2. The Venture Capital Method

The VC Method is a simple approach for early-stage company valuation. It estimates what a company could be worth at exit and then works backwards to what it's worth today, given the investor's required return:

1. Estimate the future exit value
2. Choose your target return (ROI)
3. Discount the exit value to present
4. Adjust for dilution if needed

3. Equidam's Hybrid Approach

In accordance with the IPEV guidelines, Equidam combines five distinct methods grouped into three core perspectives:

- **Qualitative assessments:** Methods like the Scorecard and Checklist that compare a startup to benchmarks
- **Future cash flows:** Two forms of DCF analysis
- **Investor returns:** The Venture Capital Method

By blending qualitative factors with quantitative ones, Equidam's methodology provides a more balanced valuation. The benefit is that it mitigates the extreme uncertainty of any single method.

Suggested Valuation Clause Language for an LPA

Here is a sample section of LPA text that incorporates many of the best practices discussed:

```
**Valuation of Investments.** The General Partner shall value each Investment of the Partnership at fair value in accordance with recognized valuation guidelines. In particular, the General Partner will apply the principles of the International Private Equity and Venture Capital Valuation (IPEV) Guidelines (December 2022 edition, as updated) when determining fair value.

Valuations shall be conducted quarterly, as of the last day of each calendar quarter, and reported to Limited Partners within 60 days after quarter-end. For purposes of each quarterly report, the General Partner shall provide the valuation of each material Investment and a brief description of the valuation methodology or metrics used (e.g. comparable company multiples, recent transaction data, discounted cash flow, etc.).

The General Partner shall maintain a consistent valuation policy and shall not change the methodology materially without notifying the Limited Partners and obtaining Advisory Committee review. All valuations made by the General Partner in good faith pursuant to this Section shall be final and binding for the purposes of the Partnership's accounts and reporting to Limited Partners, provided that, upon the request of the Limited Partner Advisory Committee, the General Partner will confer in good faith regarding any valuation and consider any reasonable input from the Advisory Committee.

The Partnership's annual financial statements will be audited by an independent accounting firm, which will include a review of the valuations of Investments for conformity with this Section.
```

This clause strikes a balance between GP authority and LP oversight. It hard-codes quarterly, IPEV-based practices, commits to transparency in reporting, and involves the LP Advisory Committee in reviewing policies or specific concerns.

Conclusion

Valuation clauses in LPAs have evolved from simple, GP-centric provisions to sophisticated frameworks that balance transparency, accountability, and practical implementation. For emerging managers, understanding and implementing best practices in valuation isn't just about satisfying LP demands – it's about building a professional, trustworthy fund operation.

Key takeaways for first-time managers:

- Commit to quarterly valuations as the modern standard
- Adopt IPEV Guidelines or similar recognized standards
- Build in LP oversight through LPAC review processes
- Provide detailed, contextual reporting beyond just numbers
- Consider independent valuation support for complex situations

By incorporating robust valuation terms in your LPA, you set the stage for a trust-based partnership with your LPs, which is ultimately what every fund needs to succeed.

About Equidam

Equidam provides a stable, open framework for valuation with regularly updated parameters, including data from private and public market transactions. We help venture capital firms establish practical and LP-friendly approaches to valuation that are readily approved by auditors thanks to the transparent and open nature of our methodology.

Visit us at **www.equidam.com**